### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 48558-PT				FOR FURTHER A	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/CA 03/02002				International filing date 22.12.2003	(day/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/ye 23.12.2002	ear)
l .	national BF21/0		ent Classification (IPC) or be	oth national classification a	and IPC			
	icant CAN I	NTE	RNATIONAL LIMITED	et al.				
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 4 sheets, including th	nis cover	sheet.		
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
<b> </b>	The	se an	nexes consist of a total c	of 2 sheets.				
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications re	lating to the following it	ems:		. *	
	1	×	Basis of the opinion				•	
	ii		Priority					
	 III		_	pinion with regard to n	ovelty, ir	ventive step a	nd industrial applicability	
	١٧		Lack of unity of invention	•	•	•		•
	٧	⊠	•	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w	ith regare atement	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial	applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cite	ed				
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application	ı			
	VIII		Certain observations o	n the international appl	ication			
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of	completion of th	is report		
20.0	20.07.2004			05.04	2005			
Name	Name and mailing address of the international				Authori	zed Officer		Subches Petantes
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Bain,	D one No. +49 89 2	2399-7252	A THE STATE OF STATE		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/02002

ĭ	Basis	of the	report
	Dasis	V:	

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages					
	1-1	5	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers					
	1-8		filed with telefax on 17.03.2005				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/2-	2/2	as originally filed				
2.	Witl	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.	1(b)).			
		• •	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (ur .3).	ıder			
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any <b>nucle</b> rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	the			
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that t listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written se nished.	quence			
4.	The	amendments have r	resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/02002

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

report.)

Yes: Claims

1-8

Inventive step (IS)

Claims No: Yes: Claims

1-8

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 3,878,871 D2: US 6,391,129

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a corrosion resistant aluminium alloy with the same composition as the one of claim 1.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known alloy in that it is homogenized at a temperature between 580 and 620 °C and then extruded into a tubing and brazed.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT) (same considerations for independent claims 2 and 3).

The temperature range for the homogenization is to be found in D2 also relating to an aluminium alloy of a different composition.

Nevertheless, there is no indications to be found in the state of the art, that would lead the skilled man in the art to such a combination of features.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 involves an inventive step (same considerations for claims 2 and 3).

Claims 4 to 8 are dependent on claim 3 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

#### Claims:

- 1. An aluminum alloy for heat exchanger tubing comprising 0.4 to 1.1% by weight manganese, up to 0.01% by weight copper, up to 0.05% by weight zinc, up to 0.2% by weight iron, up to 0.2% by weight silicon, up to 0.01% by weight nickel, up to 0.05% by weight titanium and the balance aluminum and incidental impurities, wherein said alloy has been homogenized at a temperature of between 580 and 620°C and extruded into tubing and brazed.
- 2. Brazed extruded heat exchanger tubing formed from an aluminum alloy comprising 0.4 to 1.1% by weight manganese, up to 0.01% by weight copper, up to 0.05% by weight zinc, up to 0.2% by weight iron, up to 0.2% by weight silicon, up to 0.01% by weight nickel, up to 0.05% by weight titanium and the balance aluminum and incidental impurities.
- 3. A brazed heat exchanger assembly comprising joined heat exchanger tubes and heat exchange fins wherein the tubes are extruded tubes formed of a first aluminum alloy comprising 0.4 to 1.1% percent by weight manganese, up to 0.01% by weight copper, up to 0.05% by weight zinc, up to 0.2% by weight iron, up to 0.2% by weight silicon, up to 0.01% by weight nickel and the balance aluminum and incidental impurities and the fins are formed of a second aluminum alloy selected from the group consisting of an alloy comprising 0.9 to 1.5% by weight manganese and an alloy of the AA3003 type, said second aluminum alloy further containing at least 0.5%

by weight zinc, whereby the brazed tubes exhibit good self corrosion protection and the fins are galvanically sacrificial relative to the tubes.

4. A brazed heat exchanger assembly according to
5 claim 3 wherein the difference between the manganese
content of the first aluminum alloy is related to the
manganese content of the second aluminum alloy by the
formula

#### Mn<sub>tube</sub> (wt%) > Mn<sub>fin</sub> (wt%) - 0.8 wt%

where  $Mn_{tube}$  is the manganese content of the first aluminum alloy and  $Mn_{fin}$  is the manganese content of the second aluminum alloy.

15

25

- 5. A brazed heat exchanger assembly according to claim 3 or 4 wherein the second aluminum alloy contains less than 0.05% by weight copper.
- 6. A brazed heat exchanger assembly according to claim 3, 4 or 5 where the galvanic current from fin to tube is greater than +0.05 microamps per square centimeter.
- 7. A brazed heat exchanger assembly according to any one of claims 3 to 6 where the first aluminum alloy contains between 0.6 and 1.19% by weight manganese.
  - 8. A brazed heat exchanger assembly according to claim 7 where the first aluminum alloy contains between 0.9 and 1.1% by weight manganese.